arXiv:1406.5649v1 [math.PR] 21 Jun 2014

A NOTE ON DEGENERATE STOCHASTIC INTEGRO-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

KONSTANTINOS DAREIOTIS

Abstract. In the present article, solvability in Sobolev spaces is investigated for a class of degenerate stochastic integro-differential equations of parabolic type. Existence and uniqueness is obtained, and estimates are given for the solution.

1. Introduction

In the present paper, solvability in L_2 spaces of stochastic partial integro-differential equations is investigated, under the lack of super-parabolicity. Equations of this type arise in non-linear filtering of jump-diffusion processes.

In the case of stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs), the first results of solvability of the corresponding equations under the lack of super-parabolicity appeared in [6]. There the solution is also shown to belong in L_p spaces. More recently, a gap from [6] is filled and the results are extended to systems of SPDEs in [1]. While the present article was prepared for submission, [7] appeared with similar results. However we decided to upload the article, since the results are obtained independently, the proof of the main estimates is different, and we obtain $E\sup_{t\leq T}\|\cdot\|_m^2-$estimates for the solution, which allow us to conclude that the solution is weakly cádlág in H^m (see below for definitions).

In the present paper we focus on estimating the terms appearing due to the integral operators and the jumps, and once the proper estimates are obtained, then existence, uniqueness, as well as estimates for the solution follow by the technique introduced in [6].

Finally let us conclude by introducing some notation that will be used through the paper, and finally state the exact problem that we are interested in. Let T be a positive real number. We consider a filtered probability space $(\Omega,\mathscr{F},(\mathscr{F}_t)_{t\leq T},P)$, with the filtration satisfying the usual conditions. On this probability space we consider a sequence $(w_t^k)_{k=1}^\infty$ of independent $\mathscr{F}_t-$Wiener processes. We also consider a measure space $(Z,\mathscr{Z},\mu)$, where μ is a Lévy measure, and a

Poisson random measure $N(dz,dt)$ on $Z\times[0,T]$, defined on our probability space, such that $N(dz,dt)-\mu(dz)dt$ is a martingale measure. We will denote the progressive $\sigma-$field on $\Omega\times[0,T]$ by $\mathscr{P}$, and if X is a topological space, $\mathscr{B}(X)$ will denote the Borel $\sigma-$field on X. Let d be a positive integer. For an integer $m\geq 0$, H^m will denote the Sobolev space of functions in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, having distributional derivatives of order m in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and we will denote by $(\cdot,\cdot)_m$ and $\|\cdot\|_m$ the inner product and the norm respectively in H^m. If X is a hilbert space, $H^m(X)$ will denote the corresponding space of $X-$valued functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$. We introduce also the following notation for function spaces $\mathbb{H}^m:=L_2(\Omega,\mathscr{F}_0,H^m)$, $\mathfrak{H}^m:=L_2(\Omega\times[0,T],\mathscr{P};H^m)$, and if X is a Hilbert space, then $\mathfrak{H}^m(X):=L_2(\Omega\times[0,T],\mathscr{P};H^m(X))$. For $i,j\in\{1,...,d\}$ we will use the notation $\partial_i:=\partial/\partial x_i$, $\partial_{ij}:=\partial_i\partial_j$, and for a multi-index $\alpha=(\alpha_1,...,\alpha_d)$, $\partial^\alpha:=(\partial^{|\alpha_1|}/\partial x_1)...(\partial^{|\alpha_d|}/\partial x_d)$. Also for foa a matrix A, we will denote the determinant by $detA$, and for a map $b:\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}^d$, Db will stand for the Jacobian. For $i,j\in\{1,...,d\}$ and $k\in\mathbb{N}$, we are given functions $a^{ij},a^i,a,\sigma^{ik},\sigma^k$ defined on $\Omega\times[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d$ with values in $\mathbb{R}$, that are $\mathscr{P}\otimes\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)-$measurable. Also, we are given a real-valued function $c=(c^1,...,c^d)$, defined on $\Omega\times[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d\times Z$, with values in $\mathbb{R}^d$, which is $\mathscr{P}\otimes\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)\otimes\mathscr{Z}-$measurable.

On $[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d$ we consider the following equation

$$du_t=(L_tu_t+I_tu_t+f_t)dt+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(M_t^ku_t+g_t^k)dw_t^k$$
$$+\int_Z(H_t(z)u_t+h_t(z))\tilde{N}(dz,dt),\tag{1.1}$$

with initial condition $u_0=\psi$, where the operators are given by

$$L_tv(x)=\sum_{i,j=1}^d a_t^{ij}(x)\partial_{ij}v(x)+\sum_{i=1}^d a_t^i(x)v(x)+a_t(x)v(x),$$

$$M_t^kv(x)=\sum_{i=1}^d\sigma_t^{ik}(x)\partial_iv(x)+\sigma_t^k(x)v(x),$$
$$H_t(z)v(x)=v(x+c_t(z,x))-v(x),$$

and

$$I_tv(x)=\int_Z v(x+c_t(z,x))-v(x)-c_t(z,x)\cdot\nabla v(x)\mu(dz).$$

The structure of the article is as follows. In Section 2, we state the assuptions and the main result, in Section 3 we give the main estimates, and in Section 4 we use these estimates to prove our main theorem.

2. Main Results

In this section we state our assumption and the main results. Let $m \geq 1$ be an integer, and set $\mathfrak{m} := \max(m, 2)$. Let also $K > 0$ be a constant.

Assumption 2.1. The functions a^{ij} are $\mathfrak{m}$ times continuously differentiable in x and their derivatives up to order $\mathfrak{m}$ are bounded by K. The functions a^i, a are m times continuously differentiable and their derivatives up to order m are bounded by K. The functions $\sigma^i = (\sigma^{ik})_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and $\sigma = (\sigma^k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ are l_2-valued, $m+1$ times continuously differentiable, and their derivatives up to order $m+1$ are bounded by K.

Assumption 2.2. The function c is $m+1$ times continuously differentiable in x, and there exists a function $\bar{c} \in L_2(Z, \mu(dz))$, such that for any multi-index α, with $|\alpha| \leq m+1$, we have for all ω, t, x, and z,

$$|\partial^{\alpha} c_t(z,x)| \leq \bar{c}(z) \wedge K.$$

Moreover, for all $(\omega, t, x, z, \theta) \in \Omega \times [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times Z \times [0,1]$

$$K^{-1} \leq |det(\mathbb{I} + \theta D c_t(x,z))|.$$

From now on, for $\theta \in [0,1]$, will be using the notation $T_{t,\theta,z}(x) := x + \theta c_t(x,z)$. Notice that under Assumption 2.2, for fixed ω, t, θ, z, the map T is a $C^{m+1}-$diffeomorphism on $\mathbb{R}^d$, and we will denote its inverse by $J_{t,\theta,z}(x)$.

Assumption 2.3. $f \in \mathfrak{H}^m$, $g \in \mathfrak{H}^{m+1}(l_2)$, $h \in \mathfrak{H}^{m+1}(L_2(Z))$, and $\psi \in \mathbb{H}^m$.

Assumption 2.4. For all ω, t, x and all $\xi = (\xi_1, ..., \xi_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{d} a_t^{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{d}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma_t^{ik}(x)\sigma_t^{jk}(x)\xi_i\xi_j \geq 0.$$

Definition 2.1. By a solution of equation (1.1), we mean a function $u \in \mathfrak{H}^1$ such that for each $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, for $dP \times dt$ almost all $(\omega, t) \in \Omega \times [0,T]$ one has the equality

$$(u_t, \phi)_0$$

$$= (\psi, \phi)_0 + \int_0^t -(\partial_j \phi, a_s^{ij}\partial_i u_s)_0 + (\phi, a_s^i \partial_i u_s - \partial_j a^{ij}\partial_i u_s + a_s u_s + f_s)_0 ds$$

$$+ \int_0^t \int_0^1 (\theta - 1) \int_Z \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_i u_s(x + \theta c_s(x,z)) \partial_j (q_s^{ij}(x,z,\theta)\phi(x))\, dx \mu(dz) d\theta ds$$

$$+ \int_0^t (\phi, \sigma^{ik}\partial_i u_s + \sigma^k u_s + g_s^k u_s)_0 dw_s^k + \int_0^t \int_Z (H_s(z)u_s + h_s(z), \phi)_0 \tilde{N}(dz, ds),$$

where the summation is understood with respect to integer valued repeated indeces, and $q_t^{ij}(x,z,\theta) := \sum_{l=1}^d c_t^l(x,z)c_t^i(x,z)\partial_l J^j_{\theta,t,z}(T_{\theta,t,z}(x))$.

We will denote the expression of the right hand side of the definition of the solution by $R(t,a^{ij},u,\phi)$, in order to ease the notation in the proof of our main theorem in Section 4. Also notice that we do not require the integrants in the jump term to be predictable since the compensator of the martingale is continuous.

Remark 2.1. As in [6], if $u \in \mathfrak{H}^n$, for $n \geq 1$, is a a solution of equation (1.1), then there exists a function $\bar{u}$, wich is equal to u for almost every (ω,t), is strongly cádlág in t as process with values in H^{n-1}, and the equality in the definition of solution holds almost surely, for all $t \in [0,T]$. This follows from the main theorem in [2].

From now on, for an l_2 valued function g, with abuse of notation we will be writing $\|g\|_m^2 := \sum_{k=1}^\infty \|g^k\|_m^2$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $m \geq 1$ be an integer. Under Assumptions 2.1 -2.4, there exists a unique solution u of equation* (1.1)*. Moreover, u is a strongly cádlág processes with values in H^{m-1}, weakly cádlág in H^m, and the following estimate holds,*

$$E\sup_{t\leq T}\|u_t\|_m^2$$

$$\leq NE\|\psi\|_m^2 + NE\int_0^T\left(\|f_t\|_m^2 + \|g_t\|_{m+1}^2 + \int_Z \|h_t(z)\|_{m+1}^2\mu(dz)\right)dt, \tag{2.2}$$

where N is a constant depending only on m,d,T and K.

3. Auxiliary Results

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose Assumption 2.2 holds. There exists a constant $N := N(m,d,\mu)$ such that for any t,ω and $v \in W_1^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_Z [v(x+c_t(x,z)) - v(x) - c_t(x,z)\cdot\nabla v(x)]\mu(dz)dx \leq N\|v\|_{L_1}.$$

Proof. By Taylor's theorem we have

$$\bar{v}(x,z) := v(x+c_t(x,z)) - v(x) - c_t(x,z)\cdot\nabla v(x)$$

$$= \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_0^1 (1-\theta)\partial_{ij}v(T_{\theta,t,z}(x))c_t^i(x,z)c_t^j(x,z)d\theta.$$

Integrating over $\mathbb{R}^d$ and changing variables gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \bar{v}(x,z)dx = \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} \int_0^1 (1-\theta) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_{ij} v(x) q_t^{ij}(\theta,x,z)dxd\theta,$$

where $q_t^{ij}(\theta,x,z) := c_t^i(J_{\theta,t,z}(x),z)c_t^j(J_{\theta,t,z}(x),z)|detDJ_{\theta,t,z}(x)|$. By integration by parts and using Assumption 2.2, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \bar{v}(x,z)dx \leq N|\bar{c}(z)|^2 \|v\|_{L_1},$$

Hence, by integrating over Z, we obtain the desired inequality. □

In the calculations later on, we drop some arguments from the functions to ease the notation. We will be writing for example $u(x+c)$ instead of $u_t(x+c_t(x,z))$.

For a real function u on $\Omega \times [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, and functions $F : \mathbb{Z}^d \to \mathbb{R}$, $m : \mathbb{Z}^d \to \mathbb{N}$ we will write $\mathscr{D}_n(u,F,m)$ for the collection of all functions v, such that

$$v = \sum_{|\zeta| \leq n} F(\zeta) D^\zeta u(x+c)$$

$$\times \sum_{k=1}^{m(\zeta)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\partial^{\alpha_i^k(\zeta)} (x^{f_i^k(\zeta)} + c^{f_i^k(\zeta)}) \right)^{\delta_i^k(\zeta)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\partial^{\beta_i^k(\zeta)} c^{g_i^k(\zeta)} \right)^{\delta'^k_i(\zeta)}, \quad (3.3)$$

where $\delta_i^k(\zeta), \delta'^k_i(\zeta) \in \{0,1\}$, $f_i^k(\zeta), g_i^k(\zeta) \in \{0,...,d\}$, $\alpha_i^k(\zeta)$, $\beta_i^k(\zeta) \in \mathbb{N}^d$, and for each ζ and k, there exist $i,j \in \{0,n\}$, $i \neq j$ such that $\delta'^k_i(\zeta) = \delta'^k_j(\zeta) = 1$.

Remark 3.1. If $v \in \mathscr{D}_n(u,F,m)$, there exists a constant N depending only on K,d,n,F, and m, such that if $z \in \{\bar{c}(z) \leq 1\}$, then for any ω,t,x,

$$|v|^2 \leq N|\bar{c}(z)| \sum_{|\zeta| \leq n} |\partial^\zeta u(x+c)|^2$$

$$|v| \leq N|\bar{c}(z)|^2 \sum_{|\zeta| \leq n} |\partial^\zeta u(x+c)|, \;\; |v|^2 \leq N|\bar{c}(z)|^2 \sum_{|\zeta| \leq n} |\partial^\zeta u(x+c)|^2 \quad (3.4)$$

Lemma 3.2. *For any multi-intex α with $n = |\alpha| \geq 1$ there exists functions F, m depending only on α such that for any $u \in H^n$,*

$$\partial^\alpha (u(x+c)) = \partial^\alpha u(x+c) + \sum_{\rho=1}^{d} \sum_{\substack{\beta \neq 0 \\ \beta \leq \alpha}} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \partial^\beta c^\rho \partial^{\alpha-\beta} \partial_\rho u(x+c) + u^{(\alpha)},$$

where $u^{(\alpha)} \in \mathscr{D}_n(u,F,m)$.

Proof. It is easy to see that this holds for any multi-index α with $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 2$. We proceed by induction and we assume that the equality holds for some α with $|\alpha| \geq 2$. For any multi-index γ, we set $\tilde{\gamma} = \gamma + e_i$ for some fixed $i \in \{1, ..., d\}$, where e_i is the unit vector in the $i-$th direction. It is easy to see that $\partial_i u^{(\alpha)} \in \mathscr{D}_{n+1}(u, F', m')$, where the functions F', m' depend only on $\tilde{\alpha}$. Hence it suffices to show that there exist functions F'', m'' depending only on $\tilde{\alpha}$, and $v \in \mathscr{D}_{n+1}(u, F'', m'')$ such that

$$A := \partial_i \left(\partial^\alpha u(x+c) + \sum_{\rho=1}^{d} \sum_{\substack{\beta \neq 0 \\ \beta \leq \alpha}} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \partial^\beta c^\rho \partial^{\alpha-\beta} \partial_\rho u(x+c) \right)$$

$$= \partial^{\tilde{\alpha}} u(x+c) + \sum_{\rho=1}^{d} \sum_{\substack{\beta \neq 0 \\ \beta \leq \tilde{\alpha}}} \binom{\tilde{\alpha}}{\beta} \partial^\beta c^\rho \partial^{\tilde{\alpha}-\beta} \partial_\rho u(x+c) + v. \tag{3.5}$$

We have

$$B := \partial_i \left(\partial^\alpha u(x+c) \right) = \partial^{\tilde{\alpha}} u(x+c) + \sum_{\rho=1}^{d} \partial_\rho \partial^\alpha u(x+c) \partial_i c^\rho. \tag{3.6}$$

We also have

$$C := \partial_i \left(\sum_{\rho=1}^{d} \sum_{\substack{\beta \neq 0 \\ \beta \leq \alpha}} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \partial^\beta c^\rho \partial^{\alpha-\beta} \partial_\rho u(x+c) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{\rho=1}^{d} \sum_{\substack{\beta \neq 0 \\ \beta \leq \alpha}} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \partial^{\tilde{\beta}} c^\rho \partial^{\alpha-\beta} \partial_\rho u(x+c)$$

$$+ \sum_{\rho=1}^{d} \sum_{\substack{\beta \neq 0 \\ \beta \leq \alpha}} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \partial^\beta c^\rho \sum_{k=1}^{d} \partial_k \partial^{\alpha-\beta} \partial_\rho u(x+c) \partial_i (x^k + c^k)$$

$$= \sum_{\rho=1}^{d} \sum_{\substack{\beta \neq 0 \\ \beta \leq \alpha}} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \partial^{\tilde{\beta}} c^\rho \partial^{\alpha-\beta} \partial_\rho u(x+c)$$

$$+ \sum_{\rho=1}^{d} \sum_{\substack{\beta \neq 0 \\ \beta \leq \alpha}} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \partial^\beta c^\rho \partial_\rho \partial^{\tilde{\alpha}-\beta} u(x+c) + v,$$

where

$$v = \sum_{\rho=1}^{d} \sum_{\substack{\beta\neq 0\\ \beta\leq\alpha}} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \partial^{\beta} c^{\rho} \sum_{k=1}^{d} \partial_k \partial^{\alpha-\beta} \partial_{\rho} u(x+c) \partial_i c^k,$$

and is in the class $\mathscr{D}_{n+1}(u, \bar{F}, \bar{m})$, for some functions $\bar{F}, \bar{m}$ depending only on $\tilde{\alpha}$. Also

$$\sum_{\rho=1}^{d} \sum_{\substack{\beta\neq 0\\ \beta\leq\alpha}} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \partial^{\tilde{\beta}} c^{\rho} \partial^{\alpha-\beta} \partial_{\rho} u(x+c)$$

$$= \sum_{\rho=1}^{d} \sum_{\substack{2\leq|\beta|\\ e_i\leq\beta\leq\tilde{\alpha}}} \binom{\alpha}{\beta - e_i} \partial^{\beta} c^{\rho} \partial^{\tilde{\alpha}-\beta} \partial_{\rho} u(x+c)$$

Hence

$$C = \sum_{\rho=1}^{d} \sum_{\substack{2\leq|\beta|\\ e_i\leq\beta\leq\alpha}} \Big[\binom{\alpha}{\beta - e_i} + \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \Big] \partial^{\beta} c^{\rho} \partial^{\tilde{\alpha}-\beta} \partial_{\rho} u(x+c)$$

$$+ \sum_{\rho=1}^{d} \partial^{\tilde{\alpha}} c^{\rho} \partial_{\rho} u(x+c) + \sum_{\rho=1}^{d} \sum_{\substack{\beta\neq 0\\ \beta\leq\alpha\\ \beta_i=0}} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \partial^{\beta} c^{\rho} \partial_{\rho} \partial^{\tilde{\alpha}-\beta} u(x+c)$$

$$+ \sum_{\rho=1}^{d} \binom{\alpha}{e_i} \partial_i c^{\rho} \partial^{\alpha} \partial_{\rho} u(x+c) + v$$

Notice that

$$\binom{\alpha}{\beta - e_i} + \binom{\alpha}{\beta} = \binom{\tilde{\alpha}}{\beta},$$

and also, if $\beta_i = 0$, then

$$\binom{\alpha}{\beta} = \binom{\tilde{\alpha}}{\beta}.$$

Therefore

$$C = \sum_{\rho=1}^{d} \sum_{\substack{2\leq|\beta|\\ e_i\leq\beta\leq\tilde{\alpha}}} \binom{\tilde{\alpha}}{\beta} \partial^{\beta} c^{\rho} \partial^{\tilde{\alpha}-\beta} \partial_{\rho} u(x+c)$$

$$+ \sum_{\rho=1}^{d} \sum_{\substack{\beta\neq 0\\ \beta\leq\tilde{\alpha}\\ \beta_i=0}} \binom{\tilde{\alpha}}{\beta} \partial^{\beta} c^{\rho} \partial_{\rho} \partial^{\tilde{\alpha}-\beta} u(x+c)$$

$$+\sum_{\rho=1}^{d}\binom{\alpha}{e_i}\partial_i c^\rho \partial^\alpha \partial_\rho u(x+c)+v. \tag{3.7}$$

Consequently, by summing (3.6) and (3.7) we obtain (3.5). This finishes the proof. □

For a multi-index α, with $|\alpha|=n$, and a function $u\in H^{n+2}$, let us define the quantity

$$\mathscr{G}_t^\alpha(u):=\int_Z \|\partial^\alpha\left(u(x+c_t(x,z))-u(x)\right)\|_0^2\mu(dz).$$

$$+2\left(\int_Z \partial^\alpha\left(u(x+c_t(x,z))-u(x)-c_t(x,z)\cdot\nabla u(x)\right)\mu(dz),\partial^\alpha u(x)\right)_0$$

Lemma 3.3. *Let Assumption 2.2 hold. Then there exists a constant N depending only on K,d and m, such that for any $u\in H^{m+2}$, and for any multi-index α, with $|\alpha|\le m$, we have*

$$\mathscr{G}_\alpha(u)\le N\|u\|_m^2. \tag{3.8}$$

Proof. A simple calculation shows that

$$\mathscr{G}_\alpha(u)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_Z[\partial^\alpha\left(u(x+c)\right)]^2-[\partial^\alpha u(x)]^2-2\partial^\alpha[c\nabla u(x)]\partial^\alpha u(x)\mu(dz)dx. \tag{3.9}$$

By Lemma 3.2 we have

$$[\partial^\alpha\left(u(x+c)\right)]^2=[\partial^\alpha u(x+c)]^2$$

$$=2\partial^\alpha u(x+c)\sum_{\rho=1}^{d}\sum_{\substack{\beta\neq 0\\ \beta\le\alpha}}\binom{\alpha}{\beta}\partial^\beta c^\rho\partial^{\alpha-\beta}\partial_\rho u(x+c)+2\partial^\alpha u(x+c)v$$

$$\left(\sum_{\rho=1}^{d}\sum_{\substack{\beta\neq 0\\ \beta\le\alpha}}\binom{\alpha}{\beta}\partial^\beta c^\rho\partial^{\alpha-\beta}\partial_\rho u(x+c)+v\right)^2, \tag{3.10}$$

where $v\in\mathscr{D}_n(u,F,m)$ for some functions F,m depending only on α. We also have

$$2\partial^\alpha[c\nabla u(x)]\partial^\alpha u(x)=c\cdot\nabla(\partial^\alpha u(x))^2$$

$$+2\partial^\alpha u(x)\sum_{\rho=1}^{d}\sum_{\substack{\beta\neq 0\\ \beta\le\alpha}}\binom{\alpha}{\beta}\partial^\beta c^\rho\partial^{\alpha-\beta}\partial_\rho u(x) \tag{3.11}$$

Hence the integrant in (3.9) is equal to

$$[\partial^\alpha u(x+c)]^2 - [\partial^\alpha u(x)]^2 - c\cdot\nabla(\partial^\alpha u(x))^2 + A_1 + A_2 \tag{3.12}$$

where

$$A_1 = 2\sum_{\rho=1}^{d}\sum_{\substack{\beta\neq 0\\ \beta\leq\alpha}}\binom{\alpha}{\beta}\partial^\beta c^\rho[\partial^{\alpha-\beta}\partial_\rho u(x+c)\partial^\alpha u(x+c) - \partial_\rho\partial^{\alpha-\beta}u(x)\partial^\alpha u(x)]$$

and

$$A_2 = \left(\sum_{\rho=1}^{d}\sum_{\substack{\beta\neq 0\\ \beta\leq\alpha}}\binom{\alpha}{\beta}\partial^\beta c^\rho\partial^{\alpha-\beta}\partial_\rho u(x+c) + v\right)^2 + 2\partial^\alpha u(x+c)v.$$

By Taylor's formula we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\partial^\beta c^\rho[\partial_\rho u(x+c)\partial^\alpha u(x+c) - \partial_\rho u(x)\partial^\alpha u(x)]dx$$

$$= \int_0^1\sum_{i=1}^{d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\partial_i(\partial_\rho\partial^{\alpha-\beta}u\partial^\alpha u)(T_{t,z,\theta}(x))c_t^i(x,z)\partial^\beta c_t^\rho(x,z)dxd\theta$$

$$= \int_0^1\sum_{i=1}^{d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\partial_i(\partial_\rho\partial^{\alpha-\beta}u\partial^\alpha u)(x)c_t^i(J_{t,z,\theta}(x),z)\partial^\beta c_t^\rho(J_{t,z,\theta}(x),z)$$

$$\times|detDJ_{\theta,t,z}(x)|dxd\theta \leq N|\bar{c}(z)|^2\|u\|_m^2$$

where for the last inequality we have used integration by parts, Assumption 2.2, and Hölder's inequality. Therefore

$$\int_Z\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}A_1dxdz \leq N\|u\|_m^2. \tag{3.13}$$

It is also easy to see that

$$|A_2| \leq N\left(|\bar{c}(z)|^2\sum_{\rho=1}^{d}\sum_{\substack{\beta\neq 0\\ \beta\leq\alpha}}|\partial^{\alpha-\beta}\partial_\rho u(x+c)|^2 + |v|^2 + |\partial^\alpha u(x+c)||v|\right),$$

and by Remark 3.1 we obtain

$$|A_2| \leq N|\bar{c}(z)|^2\left(\sum_{|\zeta|\leq n}|\partial^\zeta u(x+c)|^2 + |\partial^\alpha u(x+c)|\sum_{|\zeta|\leq n}|\partial^\zeta u(x+c)|\right).$$

Consequently,

$$\int_Z\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|A_2|dx\mu(dz) \leq N\|u\|_m^2. \tag{3.14}$$

Therefore by integrating (3.12) over $\mathbb{R}^d$ and Z, Lemma 3.1 combined with (3.13) and (3.14), leads to (3.8). □

Assumption 3.1.

(i) The functions a^{ij}, σ^{ik}, c are m times continuously differentiable in x, and their derivatives up to order m are bounded in magnitude by K. Moreover for any multi-index α, with $|\alpha| \leq m$,

$$|\partial^\alpha c_t(x,z)| \leq |\bar{c}(z)| \wedge K$$

(ii) For any $(\omega, t, x, z, \theta) \in \Omega \times [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times Z \times [0,1]$,

$$K^{-1} \leq |det(\mathbb{I} + \theta Dc_t(x,z))|$$

(iii) $f \in \mathfrak{H}^{m-1}$, $g \in \mathfrak{H}^m(l_2)$, $h \in \mathfrak{H}^m(L_2(Z))$ and $\psi \in \mathbb{H}^m$.

Assumption 3.2. There exists a constant $\lambda > 0$, such that for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and for all ω, t and x, we have

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^d a_t^{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j - \sum_{i,j=1}^d \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{1}{2}\sigma_t^{ik}(x)\sigma_t^{jk}(x)\xi_i\xi_j \geq \ \lambda|\xi|^2.$$

The following theorem is a consequence of Theorems 2.9-2.10 from [3].

Theorem 3.4. *Under Assumptions 3.1-3.2, there exists a unique solution u of equation (1.1). Moreover, u is a cádlág processes with values in H^m, it belongs to H^{m+1} for $dP \times dt$ almost every (ω, t), and the following estimate holds*

$$E \sup_{t \leq T} \|u_t\|_m^2 + E \int_0^T \|u_t\|_{m+1}^2 dt$$

$$\leq NE\|\psi\|_m^2 + NE \int_0^T \left(\|f_t\|_{m-1}^2 + \|g_t\|_m^2 + \int_Z \|h_t(z)\|_m^2 \mu(dz) \right) dt, \tag{3.15}$$

where N is a constant depending only on m, d, T, K and λ.

4. Proof of Theorem 2.1

Proof. First we assume that the functions a^{ij} are smooth, and we replace them by $a^{ij}(\varepsilon) := a^{ij} + \varepsilon\delta_{ij}$, for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Then the modified equation has a unique solution $u^\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{H}^{m+2}$, which is cádlág in H^{m+1}.

Then for a multi-index α, with $|\alpha| \leq m$ we can differentiate the equation, use Itô's formula for the square of the $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)-$norm (see [3]) and sum over all $|\alpha| \leq m$, to obtain

$$\|u_t^\varepsilon\|_m^2 = \|\psi\|_m^2 + \int_0^t I(m, u_s^\varepsilon, f_s, g_s) + \sum_{|\alpha|\leq m} \mathscr{G}_s^\alpha(u^\varepsilon)ds$$

$$+2\sum_{|\alpha|\leq m}\int_0^t\int_Z (\partial^\alpha H_s(z)u_s^\varepsilon, \partial^\alpha h_s(z))_0 + \|\partial^\alpha h_s(z)\|_0^2\mu(dz)ds$$

$$+2\sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_0^t (u_s^\varepsilon, M^k u_s^\varepsilon + g_s^k)_m \; dw_s^k$$

$$+\sum_{|\alpha|\leq m}\int_0^t\int_Z \|\partial^\alpha(u^\varepsilon(x+c))\|_0^2 - \|\partial^\alpha u^\varepsilon(x)\|_0^2\tilde{N}(dz,ds).$$

$$+\sum_{|\alpha|\leq m}\int_0^t\int_Z 2(\partial^\alpha(u_s^\varepsilon(x+c)), \partial^\alpha h_s(z))_0 + \|\partial^\alpha h_s(z)\|_0^2\tilde{N}(dz,ds),$$

where the expression $I(m, u_s^\varepsilon, f_s, g_s)$ is defined in [6], and by virtue of Lemma 2.1 of the same article it satisfies,

$$I(m, u_s^\varepsilon, f_s, g_s) \leq N(\|u_s^\varepsilon\|_m^2 + \|f_s\|_m^2 + \|g_s\|_{m+1}^2).$$

For the third term of the right hand side of the above inequality, by virtue of lemma 3.2, we have

$$(\partial^\alpha H_s(z)u_s^\varepsilon, \partial^\alpha h_s(z))_0 = (\partial^\alpha u_s^\varepsilon(x+c) - \partial^\alpha u_s^\varepsilon(x), \partial^\alpha h_s(z))_0$$

$$+\sum_{|\alpha|\leq m}\sum_{\rho=1}^d\sum_{\substack{\beta\neq 0\\ \beta\leq\alpha}}\binom{\alpha}{\beta}(\partial^\beta c^\rho \partial^{\alpha-\beta}\partial_\rho u^\varepsilon(x+c) + u^{\varepsilon(\alpha)}, \partial^\alpha h_s(z))_0$$

$$\leq (\partial^\alpha u_s^\varepsilon(x+c) - \partial^\alpha u_s^\varepsilon(x), \partial^\alpha h_s(z))_0$$
$$+N|\bar{c}(z)|^2\|u_s^\varepsilon\|_m^2 + N\|h_s(z)\|_m^2.$$

Then we notice that,

$$(\partial^\alpha u_s^\varepsilon(x+c) - \partial^\alpha u_s^\varepsilon(x), \partial^\alpha h_s(z))_0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^d\int_0^1\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\partial_i\partial^\alpha u_s^\varepsilon(T_{s,\theta,z}(x))c_s^i(x,z)\partial^\alpha h_s(z,x)dxd\theta$$

$$-\sum_{i=1}^d\int_0^1\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\partial_i\partial^\alpha u_s^\varepsilon(x)\partial_i(c_s^i(J_{s,\theta,z}(x),z)$$
$$\times\partial^\alpha h_s(z, J_{s,\theta,z}(x))|detDJ_{s,\theta,z}(x)|)dxd\theta$$
$$\leq N|\bar{c}(z)|^2\|u_s^\varepsilon\|_m^2 + N\|h_s(z)\|_{m+1}^2.$$

By using this, and (3.8), we obtain

$$E\sup_{t\leq r}\|u_t^\varepsilon\|_m^2 \leq \|\psi\|_m^2 + N\int_0^r E\|u_t^\varepsilon\|_m^2 dt$$
$$+NE\int_0^T\left(\|f_t\|_m^2+\|g_t\|_{m+1}^2+\int_Z\|h_t(z)\|_{m+1}^2\mu(dz)\right)dt$$
$$+2E\sup_{t\leq r}\Big|\sum_{k=1}^\infty\int_0^t(u_s^\varepsilon, M^k u_s^\varepsilon+g_s^k)_m\ dw_s^k\Big|$$
$$+E\sup_{t\leq r}\Big|\sum_{|\alpha|\leq m}\int_0^t\int_Z\|\partial^\alpha(u_s^\varepsilon(x+c))\|_0^2-\|\partial^\alpha u_s^\varepsilon(x)\|_0^2\tilde{N}(dz,ds)\Big|$$
$$+E\sup_{t\leq r}\Big|\sum_{|\alpha|\leq m}\int_0^t\int_Z(\partial^\alpha(u_s^\varepsilon(x+c)),\partial^\alpha h_s(z))_0\tilde{N}(dz,ds)\Big|$$
$$+E\sup_{t\leq r}\Big|\int_0^t\int_Z\|h_s(z)\|_m^2\tilde{N}(dz,ds)\Big|. \tag{4.16}$$

Then as in [6], we have for any $\delta>0$

$$2E\sup_{t\leq r}\Big|\sum_{k=1}^\infty\int_0^t(u_s^\varepsilon, M^k u_s^\varepsilon+g_s^k)_m\ dw_s^k\Big|$$
$$\leq \delta E\sup_{t\leq r}\|u_t^\varepsilon\|_m^2+N\int_0^r(E\|u_t^\varepsilon\|_m^2+E\|g\|_{m+1}^2)dt,$$

where $N=N(d,K,m,\delta)$. By virtue of Lemma (3.2) and Remark 3.1, we have,

$$\|\partial^\alpha(u_s^\varepsilon(x+c))\|_0^2-\|\partial^\alpha u_s^\varepsilon(x)\|_0^2$$
$$\leq \|\partial^\alpha u_s^\varepsilon(x+c)\|_0^2-\|\partial^\alpha u_s^\varepsilon(x)\|_0^2+N|\bar{c}(z)|\|u_s^\varepsilon\|_m^2.$$

Then we have

$$\|\partial^\alpha u_s^\varepsilon(x+c)\|_0^2-\|\partial^\alpha u_s^\varepsilon(x)\|_0^2$$
$$=\sum_{i=1}^d\int_0^1\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}2\partial^\alpha u_s^\varepsilon(T_{t,\theta,z}(x))\partial_i\partial^\alpha u_s^\varepsilon(T_{t,\theta,z}(x))c_t^i(x,z)dxd\theta$$
$$=-\sum_{i=1}^d\int_0^1\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}[\partial^\alpha u_s^\varepsilon(x)]^2\partial_i\left(c_t^i(J_{t,\theta,z}(x),z)|detDJ_{t,\theta,z}(x)|\right)dxd\theta$$
$$\leq N|\bar{c}(z)|\|u_s^\varepsilon\|_m^2.$$

Hence, by the Burkholder-Gundy-Davis inequality, and Young's inequality, we get for any $\delta>0$,

$$+E\sup_{t\leq r}\Big|\sum_{|\alpha|\leq m}\int_0^t\int_Z\|\partial^\alpha(u_s^\varepsilon(x+c))\|_0^2-\|\partial^\alpha u_s^\varepsilon(x)\|_0^2\tilde{N}(dz,ds)\Big|$$

$$\leq \sum_{|\alpha|\leq m} E\left(\int_0^r \int_Z \left(\|\partial^\alpha (u_s^\varepsilon(x+c))\|_0^2 - \|\partial^\alpha u_s^\varepsilon(x)\|_0^2\right)^2 \mu(dz)ds\right)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq \delta E \sup_{t\leq r} \|u_t^\varepsilon\|_m^2 + N\int_0^r E\|u_t^\varepsilon\|_m^2 dt,$$

where $N = N(d, K, m, \delta)$. Since

$$(\partial^\alpha (u_s^\varepsilon(x+c)), \partial^\alpha h_s(z))_0 \leq N\|u_s^\varepsilon\|_m \|h_s(z)\|_m,$$

by the Burkholder-Gundy-Davis inequality, and Young's inequality, the term in the fifth line of (4.16) can be estimated by

$$\delta E \sup_{t\leq r} \|u_t^\varepsilon\|_m^2 + N\int_0^r \int_Z E\|h_t(z)\|_m^2 \mu(dz)dt.$$

Also the last term in (4.16) can be estimated by

$$2E\int_0^T \int_Z \|h_t(z)\|_m^2 \mu(dz)dt.$$

Combining these estimates we get

$$E\sup_{t\leq r} \|u_t^\varepsilon\|_m^2 \leq N\|\psi\|_m^2 + N\int_0^r E\|u_t^\varepsilon\|_m^2 dt$$

$$+NE\int_0^T \left(\|f_t\|_m^2 + \|g_t\|_{m+1}^2 + \int_Z \|h_t(z)\|_{m+1}^2 \mu(dz)\right) dt < \infty,$$

From which, by virtue of Gronwall's lemma we obtain (2.2) for u^ε, assuming that a^{ij} are smooth. For the general case, we mollify a^{ij} to obtain $a^{ij(n)}$, and let us call $u^{\varepsilon(n)}$ the solution of (1.1), with a^{ij} replaced by $a^{ij(n)} + \varepsilon\delta_{ij}$, where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta. Then we have that estimate (2.2) holds for $u^{\varepsilon(n)}$. Also, the difference $u^{\varepsilon(n)} - u^\varepsilon$ satisfies (1.1), with a^{ij} replaced by $a^{ij(n)} + \varepsilon\delta_{ij}$, Assumptions 3.1- 3.2 in force, the constants appearing there independent of $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $f = (a^{ij(n)} - a^{ij})\partial_{ij}u^\varepsilon$, $g = 0$, $h =$ and $\psi = 0$. Hence, by (3.15), we obtain $E\sup_{t\leq T} \|u_t^\varepsilon - u_t^{\varepsilon(n)}\|_m^2 \to 0$, as $n \to \infty$, which shows that estimate (2.2) holds for u^ε for the general case. Then, once estimate (2.2) is obtained for u^ε, one can conclude the proof in the same way as in [6], [5]. One can find a sequence $\varepsilon_n \to 0$, and a function $u \in \mathfrak{H}^m$ such that u^{ε_n} converges weakly to u, and u is a solution of (1.1). It follows that u is cádlág in H^{m-1}. Then we can take a sequence u^n of convex combinations of u^{ε_n} such that $\|u^n - u\|_m \to 0$ for almost every $(\omega, t) \in \Omega \times [0, T]$. Hence we can find $\mathbb{T}$, a dense countable set of $[0, T]$ such that, almost surely, $\|u_t^n - u_t\|_m \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$. Let $\mathbb{L}$ be a countable dense subset of H^m consisting of smooth functions with compact support. Since for any multi-index γ of order m, and

any $\phi \in \mathbb{L}$, the expression $(u_t, \partial^\gamma \phi)_0$ is cádlág in t, we have that almost surely

$$\sup_{\phi\in\mathbb{L}}\sup_{t<T}\frac{(u_t,\partial^\gamma\phi)_0}{\|\phi\|_0} \leq \sup_{\phi\in\mathbb{L}}\sup_{t\in\mathbb{T}}\frac{(u_t,\partial^\gamma\phi)_0}{\|\phi\|_0} \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty}\sup_{t\leq T}\|\partial^\gamma u_t^n\|_0.$$

The right hand side of of the above inequalities is finite, which implies that almost surely $\partial^\gamma u_t \in H^0$ for any $t < T$, and the following holds

$$\sup_{t<T}\|\partial^\gamma u_t\|_0 \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty}\sup_{t\leq T}\|\partial^\gamma u_t^n\|_0. \tag{4.17}$$

For $t = T$ we proceed similarly. By virtue of the main estimate, we can take a subsequence $\varepsilon_{n(k)}$ of ε_n, and a function $\bar{u}_T \in L_2(\Omega, \mathscr{F}_T; H^m)$ such that $u_T^{\varepsilon_{n(k)}}$ converges weakly to $\bar{u}_T$. Then for any $\phi \in C_c^\infty$, we have

$$(u_T^{\varepsilon_{n(k)}}, \phi)_0 = R(T, a^{ij} + \varepsilon_{n(k)}\delta_{ij}, u^{\varepsilon_{n(k)}}, \phi).$$

Since the integral and the stochastic integral are continuous linear operators from $\mathfrak{H}^0$ into $L_2(\Omega, \mathscr{F}_T)$, therefore weakly continuous, by letting $k \to \infty$ we obtain

$$(\bar{u}_T, \phi)_0 = R(T, a^{ij}, u, \phi) = (u_T, \phi)_0.$$

Hence $u_T = \bar{u}_T$ almost surely. It also follows that

$$\|u_T\|_m \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty}\|u_T^n\|_m.$$

This combined with (4.17) and Fatou's lemma leads to estimate (2.2) for u. To show that u is weakly cádlág we proceed as follows. We have that for any $\phi \in \mathbb{L}$, the expression $(u_t, \phi)_m$ is cádlág. We also have that $\sup_{t\leq T}\|u_t\|_m < \infty$. It follows then that for any $v \in H^m$, $(u_t, v)_m$ is right continuous. To show the existence of left limits, we fix $t \in [0, T]$ and $v \in H^m$, and let $v_k \in \mathbb{L}$, such that $\|v_k - v\|_m \to 0$. Then for any multi-index γ with $|\gamma| = m$, we have

$$\sup_k|(\partial^{\gamma-e_i}u_{t-}, \partial^{\gamma+e_i}v_k)_0| = \sup_k\lim_{t_n\uparrow t}|(\partial^{\gamma-e_i}u_{t_n}, \partial^{\gamma+e_i}v_k)_0| \leq \sup_{t\leq T}\|u_t\|_m\|v\|_m < \infty,$$

for an appropriate $i \in \{1, ..., d\}$. Hence there exists a subsequence $k(l)$ and $q \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$-(\partial^{\gamma-e_i}u_{t-}, \partial^{\gamma+e_i}v_{k(l)})_0 \to q, \text{ as } l \to \infty.$$

We claim that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$, such that if $0 < t-s < \delta$ then $|q - (\partial^\gamma u_s, \partial^\gamma v)_0| \leq \varepsilon$. We have

$$|q - (\partial^\gamma u_s, \partial^\gamma v)_0| \leq |(\partial^\gamma u_s, \partial^\gamma v_{k(l)})_0 - (\partial^\gamma u_s, \partial^\gamma v)_0|$$

$$+|(\partial^{\gamma-e_i}u_s,\partial^{\gamma+e_i}v_{k(l)})_0-(\partial^{\gamma-e_i}u_{t-},\partial^{\gamma+e_i}v_{k(l)})_0|$$
$$+|(\partial^{\gamma-e_i}u_{t-},\partial^{\gamma+e_i}v_{k(l)})_0+q|$$
$$\leq \sup_{t\leq T}\|u_s\|_m\|v_{k(l)}-v\|_m$$
$$+|(\partial^{\gamma-e_i}u_s,\partial^{\gamma+e_i}v_{k(l)})_0-(\partial^{\gamma-e_i}u_{t-},\partial^{\gamma+e_i}v_{k(l)})_0|$$
$$+|(\partial^{\gamma-e_i}u_{t-},\partial^{\gamma+e_i}v_{k(l)})_0+q|$$

Hence one can take l large enough and then choose a sufficiently small δ. This finishes the proof. □

Acknowledgement

The author would like to express gratitude towards his Phd advisor, Professor István Gyöngy, for his guidance and his useful suggestions.

References

[1] M. Gerencsér, I. Gyöngy, N. Krylov, On the solvability of degenerate stochastic partial differential equations in Sobolev spaces, arXiv:1404.4401

[2] I. Gyöngy and N.V. Krylov, On stochastic equations with respect to semimartingales II, *Stochastics*, **6** (1982), 153-173.

[3] I. Gyöngy, On stochastic equations with respect to semimartingales III, *Stochastics*, **7** (1982), 231-254.

[4] I. Gyöngy and N.V. Krylov, On stochastic equations with respect to semimartingales I, *Stochastics*, **4** (1980), 1-21.

[5] Krylov, N. V.; Rozovskii, B. L. The Cauchy problem for linear stochastic partial differential equations. (Russian) Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 41 (1977)

[6] Krylov, N. V.; Rozovskii, B. L. Characteristics of second-order degenerate parabolic It equations. (Russian) Trudy Sem. Petrovsk. No. 8 (1982), 153168.

[7] J.M. Leahy, R. Mikulevicius, On Degenerate Linear Stochastic Evolutions Equations Driven by Jump Processes, arXiv:1406.4541